

2001 Sherwood Drive Sherwood Park, AB T8A 3W7 Phone 780-464-8140 Fax 780-464-8194 www.strathcona.ca

### ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

October 27, 2010

#### NOTICE OF DECISION CARB 0302-04/2010

Altus Group 17327 106A Avenue Edmonton, AB T5S 1M7 crystal.chase@altusgroup.com Strathcona County
Assessment and Taxation
2001 Sherwood Drive
Sherwood Park, AB T8A 3W7

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board from a hearing held on September 27, 2010 regarding a complaint for:

Hearing #	Appellant/Owner	Property Description	Roll#	Assessed Value
C2010-36	SRF2 BASELINE ROAD	Lot 4, Block 201, Plan 9220581	8201004005	4,385,000
	NORTHWEST INC	100 Broadway Boulevard		
		Baseline Village (Rona)		

#### Before:

Lana Wood, Presiding Officer Susan Paul, Board Member Cindy MacGowan, Board Member

### Persons Appearing: Complainant

Chris Buchanan, Altus Group Stephen Cook, Altus Group

# **Persons Appearing: Respondent**

George Cosens, Assessment Coordinator Wayne Minke, Manager., Assessment & Tax Brian Gettel, Gettel Appraisals Ltd. (witness)

### PRELIMINARY MATTERS:

There were no procedural or jurisdictional matters raised by the parties during the hearing.

### **PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:**

The subject property was occupied by the retail box store, Rona Home & Garden Centre for 2009, during which time, the tenant was in the process of vacating the premises for its new location on Wye Road which opened in 2010.

This property forms part of Baseline Village. The subject property is a one storey building that is comprised of 35,242 sq ft. The building, which was constructed in 1992, is situated on 2.92 acres of land. The site coverage ratio is 27%. The land is zoned DC1, Direct Control District.

**COMPLAINANT'S REQUESTED VALUE:** \$3,578,500

Document #: Legislative Legal Services.4530.73678.1

## **ISSUE:** (as indicated on the complaint form)

The Board notes that there were several statements on the appendix to the complaint form; however, it will only address those issues that were raised at the hearing.

1. The assessed rental lease rates applied to the subject property are incorrect and inequitable, and result in an inflated potential gross income.

### **LEGISLATION:**

#### MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT ACT, R.S.A. 2000, Chapter M-26

- I(1) (n) "market value" means the amount that a property, as defined in section 284(1)(r), might be expected to realize if it is sold on the open market by a willing seller to a willing buyer;
- 285 Each municipality must prepare annually an assessment for each property in the municipality, except linear property and the property listed in section 298.
- (2) Each assessment must reflect
  - (a) the characteristics and physical condition of the property on December 31 of the year prior to the year in which a tax is imposed under Part 10 in respect of the property, and
  - (b) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations for that property.
- 293(1) In preparing an assessment, the assessor must, in a fair and equitable manner,
  - (a) apply the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations, and
  - (b) follow the procedures set out in the regulations.
- (2) If there are no procedures set out in the regulations for preparing assessments, the assessor must take into consideration assessments of similar property in the same municipality in which the property that is being assessed is located.
- 467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.
- (2) An assessment review board must dismiss a complaint that was not made within the proper time or that does not comply with section 460(7).
- (3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration
  - (a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
  - (b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
  - (c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

MATTERS RELATING TO ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION REGULATION 220/2004 (with amendments up to and including Alberta Regulation 330/2009)

- 2 An assessment of property based on market value
  - (a) must be prepared using mass appraisal,
  - (b) must be an estimate of the value of the fee simple estate in the property, and
  - (c) must reflect typical market conditions for properties similar to that property.
- 3 Any assessment prepared in accordance with the Act must be an estimate of the value of a property on July 1 of the assessment year.

4(1) The valuation standard for a parcel of land is

a) market value,

6(1) When an assessor is preparing an assessment for a parcel of land and the improvements to it, the valuation standard for the land and improvements is market value unless subsection (2) or (3) applies.

### POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT:

The Complainant submitted that the rental rate used in the income approach to value for the subject property should be reduced from \$11.00 psf to \$9.00 psf. He indicated that the rental rate used by Strathcona County is the only issue before the Board.

He presented six assessment comparables from the City of Edmonton comprised of various Rona, Revy and Totem retail box stores. The assessed lease rates that were applied by the City of Edmonton ranged from \$9.00 psf- \$10.00 psf (for an average of \$9.50 psf) for buildings that have an assessed net leased area of 29,072 sq ft - 130,905 sq ft (Exhibit C1 page 11). The Complainant submitted that these buildings have a similar tenancy, build out, interior finish and located along major arterials as the subject property and therefore the assessed lease rate as applied by Strathcona County of \$11.00 psf is too high.

The Complainant asked the Board to place more weight on the first three comparables that ranged from 29,072 sq ft- 41,452 sq ft which have an assessed lease rate of \$9.00 psf as these comparables are more similar in size as the subject property at 35,000 sq ft.

The Complainant referred to the actual lease rate for Rona in its new location on the Wye Road (Exhibit C1 page 11). It has a net rentable area of 52,668 sq ft. The lease was in place on July 31, 2009 at \$7.78 psf which is significantly less than the assessed rental rate of \$11.00 psf applied to the subject property; however, the Complainant advised the Board to disregard it as it was a land lease only.

The Complainant indicated that the rate of \$9.00 psf is more indicative of market rent and suggested that the assessment be reduced to \$3,578,500 (truncated)(Exhibit C1 page 13).

### **POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT:**

The Respondent indicated that the subject property was assessed based on the income approach to value and a rental rate of \$11.00 psf was applied in this instance (Exhibit R1, Appendix 1, page 8).

The Respondent submitted that little weight should be applied to the Complainant's assessment comparables because there was no market lease information provided to support the rental rates used by the City of Edmonton (Exhibit R1 page 5). The Respondent submitted that a \$9.00 psf rental rate would significantly under value the subject property. The Respondent was in agreement with the Complainant to disregard the Rona lease on Wye Road at \$7.78 psf as it was a land lease only (Exhibit R1 Appendix 2).

The Respondent submitted a cost approach for the subject property which indicated a value of \$4,684,000 in support of the subject property's 2010 assessment (Exhibit R1 Appendix 3).

The Respondent also submitted an appraisal for the subject property dated on September 3, 2010 from Mr. Brian Gettel in support of the assessment for the subject property (Exhibit R1 Appendix 4). The appraisal included 8 lease rates taken throughout the City of Edmonton, Spruce Grove, Leduc and Drayton Valley (Exhibit R1 Appendix 4 page 25). Mr. Gettel placed most weight on comparables 1, 4 & 5 .that have areas of 40,231 sq ft to 88,964 sq ft and rates between \$11.00- \$17.00 psf. Based on the age of the building and its location, Mr. Gettel suggested a \$12.00 psf rental rate which is a value of \$4,520,000 for the subject property.

The Respondent requested that the 2010 assessment for the subject property of \$4,385,000 be confirmed.

### **DECISION:**

The decision of the Board is to confirm the 2010 assessment of the subject property at \$4,385,000.

### **REASONS FOR THE DECISION:**

The Board places little weight on the Complainant's assessment comparables because he failed to provide any market evidence to support the \$9.00- \$10.00 psf rental rates used by the City of Edmonton. The only market evidence that the Complainant provided was the lease dated July 31, 2009 of \$7.78 psf which he asked the Board to disregard as it was a land lease only.

The Board finds the Complainant failed to provide sufficient evidence to bring the subject property's assessment into question.

Dated this 27th day of October, 2010 at Strathcona County, in the Province of Alberta.

Lana J. Wood
Presiding Officer

1. Exhibit C1

Complainant Disclosure filed August 16, 2010

2. Exhibit R1

Respondents Disclosure filed September 13, 2010

Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c.M-26 provides you the right to appeal this decision to the Court of Queens Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction. You must make your appeal within 30 days after you receive this notice of decision.

Copy to: Municipal Government Board